

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| 10/087,657 | 03/01/2002 | Bryan D. Bigsby | 3191E-000028 | 7892 | |
| 27572 | 7590 02/06/2004 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. | | | JIMENEZ, MAI | JIMENEZ, MARC QUEMUEL | |
| P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIEL | D HILLS, MI 48303 | | ART UNIT | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| | , | • | 3726 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 02/06/200 | 4 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | SM | | | |
|---|--|---|----------|--|--|--|
| ÿ | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| S. A. L. in a way A. Aliana | 10/087,657 | BIGSBY, BRYAN D. | | | | |
| Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Marc Jimenez | 3726 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | pears on the cover sheet i | with the correspondence address | : | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 20 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | avoid abandonment of thi 1) a timely filed amendm al (with appeal fee); or (3 | is application. A proper reply to ent which places the application 3) a timely filed Request for Cont | a in | | | |
| - | REPLY [check either a) or | r b)] | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension see under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if mely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by | oecause: | | | | | |
| (a) \times they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal | by materially reducing or simplif | ying the | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cance | eling a corresponding nur | mber of finally rejected claims. | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | ction(s): | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | d be allowable if submitte | ed in a separate, timely filed ame | endment | | | |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: | | een considered but does NOT pla | ace the | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed S | OLELY to issues which were ne | wly | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v | | | an | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows | : : | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4 and 6-10</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>11-15</u> . | | | | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap | proved or b)☐ disappro | oved by the Examiner. | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | , , , | ^ | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)





Continuation of 2. NOTE: the amendment to the claims, for example, "inserting the axle through a support aperture of a mounting bracket" raises new issues that would require further consideration and search.

Jahr.